

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <http://www.researchgate.net/publication/281333260>

The genetics of major depression remain elusive

RESEARCH · AUGUST 2015

DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.1.3480.4963

READS

36

3 AUTHORS:



Eiko Fried

University of Leuven

12 PUBLICATIONS 48 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Sophie van der Sluis

VU University Amsterdam

61 PUBLICATIONS 1,136 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Angelique Cramer

University of Amsterdam

27 PUBLICATIONS 474 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

The genetics of major depression remain elusive

by Eiko Fried, Sophie van der Sluis, and Angelique Cramer

A recent study published in *Nature* by the CONVERGE consortium¹ identified two Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) for Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) that replicated across two samples of Han-Chinese women with recurrent depression. The report was accompanied by an editorial² that hailed the findings as biologically and diagnostically relevant, suggesting that large-scale exploratory genome-wide studies offer enticing prospects towards aiding diagnosis and the development of new drugs.

We disagree with the editorial's interpretation (and most of the media coverage) of these CONVERGE results, which contrast with the careful phrasing of the authors themselves. Although the two SNPs discovered in the comparatively homogenous CONVERGE sample did replicate in a similarly ascertained group, the editorial fails to mention that they did not in the more heterogeneous Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC) data also examined by the authors. Moreover, in polygenic risk score analysis, the genetic signal in the PGC sample explained less than 0.1% of disease risk in the CONVERGE data, implying a fundamental lack of overlap in genetic risk signal across samples.

The laudable effort of the CONVERGE consortium to ensure genetically and phenotypically homogenous samples confirms the elusiveness of the genetics of MDD. Hailing the results as robust insights into the biology of depression detracts from the true scientific relevance of the study: genetic effects for MDD are, even in large homogenous samples, small and do not generalize.

Given the hitherto negative results of genetic MDD studies^{4,5}, slogging along on this current road of ever-larger samples and discovering at best small effects is not an alluring prospect, especially so considering that these effects are likely not specific to MDD⁶. Instead, we suggest revising complex psychiatric phenotypes such as MDD that were transferred unquestioningly from psychiatry to genetics. Incorporating recently proposed network models⁷, symptom- rather than syndrome-level analyses⁸, and the development of new instruments that tap variation along the entire continuum^{9,10} (i.e., in both "cases" and "controls") offer promising ways forward.

Dr. Eiko I. Fried, University of Leuven, Belgium

Dr. Sophie van der Sluis, VU Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Dr. Angelique O. J. Cramer, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands

References

1. Cai, N. *et al.* Sparse whole-genome sequencing identifies two loci for major depressive disorder. *Nature* **523**, 588–91 (2015).
2. Ledford, H. First robust genetic links to depression emerge. *Nature* **523**, 268–269 (2015).
3. Keener, A. B. Genetic Variants Linked to Depression. *Sci.* (2015).
4. Hek, K., Demirkan, A., Lahti, J. & Terracciano, A. A Genome-Wide Association Study of Depressive Symptoms. *Biol. Psychiatry* **73(7)**, 667–78 (2013).
5. Daly, J. *et al.* A mega-analysis of genome-wide association studies for major depressive disorder. *Mol. Psychiatry* **18**, 497–511 (2013).
6. Kendler, K. S. ‘A gene for...’: the nature of gene action in psychiatric disorders. *Am. J. Psychiatry* **162**, 1243–52 (2005).
7. Cramer, A. O. J., Kendler, K. S. & Borsboom, D. Where are the Genes? The Implications of a Network Perspective on Gene Hunting in Psychopathology. *Eur. J. Pers.* **286**, 270–271 (2011).
8. Fried, E. I. & Nesse, R. M. Depression sum-scores don’t add up: why analyzing specific depression symptoms is essential. *BMC Med.* **13**, 1–11 (2015).
9. Lee, S. H. & Wray, N. R. Novel genetic analysis for case-control genome-wide association studies: quantification of power and genomic prediction accuracy. *PLoS One* **8**, e71494 (2013).
10. Van der Sluis, S., Posthuma, D., Nivard, M. G., Verhage, M. & Dolan, C. V. Power in GWAS: lifting the curse of the clinical cut-off. *Mol. Psychiatry* **18**, 2–3 (2012).